The State Budget Crisis Task Force, a bipartisan group led by former Federal Reserve Chairman Paul A. Volcker1 and former New York Lt. Gov. Richard Ravitch2, delivered its report on July 17 in Washington, D.C. The Task Force was funded by foundations established by George Soros, Pete Peterson, and others3.

I was a member of the Task Force Advisory Board, which also included Nicholas F. Brady4, Joseph A. Califano, Jr.5, Phillip L. Clay6, Peter Goldmark8, Richard P. Nathan9, Alice M. Rivlin10, Marc V. Shaw11, and George P. Shultz12.

The Task Force was assembled to understand the extent of the fiscal problems faced by states, which under the United States Constitution have the principal responsibility for most domestic governmental functions including education, safety, health, infrastructure, administration of justice, and implementation of the social safety net. State and local governments spend $2.5 trillion annually and employ more than 19 million workers—15 percent of the national total and 6 times as many workers as the federal government.

The study concludes that state governments are coping with unprecedented challenges in attempting to meet obligations to citizens and that the basic problem is structural, not cyclical. While the extent of the challenges varies by state, the magnitude of the problem is great and extends well beyond the consequences of the global financial crisis, subsequent recession, and slow economic growth.

Examining the financial condition of six states—California, Illinois, New Jersey, New York, Texas, and Virginia—the Task Force discovered four common challenges:

1. Certain large expenditures are growing at rates that exceed reasonable expectations for revenues:

   continued on inside...
• At recent rates of growth, state Medicaid costs will outstrip revenue growth by a wide margin, and the gap will continue to expand.

• Pension funds for state and local government workers are underfunded by approximately a trillion dollars according to their own figures and by as much as three trillion dollars or more according to economists.

• Unfunded liabilities for health care benefits for state and local government retirees amount to more than a trillion dollars.

2. The capacity to raise revenues is increasingly impaired:
• Untaxed transactions are diminishing the sales tax base.
• Gasoline taxes are eroding.
• Income taxes have become increasingly volatile.

3. State actions are having spillover effects on local governments and the federal budget crisis will have serious spillover effects on state and local governments:
• Pressures on local governments are constraining education spending, law enforcement, aid to the needy, and cultural institutions.
• Cuts in federal grant dollars, lower spending on federal installations, procurement, and infrastructure, and potential changes to the federal tax code all threaten states’ fiscal stability.

4. State budget practices make achieving fiscal stability and sustainability difficult:
• “Revenue” and “expenditure” are not defined terms in state government accounting.
• The use of borrowed funds, off-budget agencies, and the proceeds of asset sales are not uncommon practices, often rendering balanced budgets illusory.
• The lack of financial transparency makes it more difficult for the public to understand the critical nature of problems such as pensions and other payment obligations.
• Opaque and untimely reporting severely hampers efforts to address problems in a serious manner.

• Temporary “one-shot” measures to avoid or delay hard fiscal decisions mask underlying problems.

Because its principal goal was to inform the public of the gravity of the issues and the consequences of continuing to postpone actions to address those issues, the Task Force did not propose changes in programmatic priorities, tax rates, or structures to deal with budgetary problems. But the Task Force did recommend certain procedural approaches:
• The public needs transparent, accountable government. States should develop and adopt best practices to improve the quality and utility of financial reporting.
• Multiyear planning and budgeting approaches

---

**Figure 1**

**Medicaid Has Surpassed K-12 Ed in State Budgets**

Source: National Association of State Budget Officers (NASBO), State Expenditure Report.
Note: Figures are for total state expenditures, including both general fund spending and federal funds.
should be a normal part of fiscal planning.

- States need better tools for managing public finances over the business cycle. A priority for states should be better use of their existing counter-cyclical tools, including “rainy day” funds and repayment of debts in prosperous periods.

- Public pension plans need to account clearly for the obligations they assume and disclose the potential shortfalls and risks they face.

- State and local governments should recognize and account for other post-employment benefits, such as retiree health care, and pre-fund those they intend to retain.

- Prompt attention is needed to the effects that federal deficit reduction and major changes in the federal tax system will have on states and localities.

- States that do not have suitable mechanisms to monitor and assist local governments experiencing fiscal distress should develop them.

- The recurrent problems of state finances and the growing state fiscal imbalance suggest that more fundamental approaches require attention, such as tax reform.

- The apparent growing gap between states’ spending obligations and their available financial resources points toward a need to reexamine the relationship between the federal government and the states.

What The Report Says About California

California exemplifies the four challenges reported by the Task Force.

- Certain large expenditures are growing at high rates:

  According to the Task Force, California has the largest number of Medicaid enrollees, 7.5 million, and among the six study states has the highest percentage of its population enrolled in Medicaid, 29 percent. But California’s annual spending per enrollee, $3,364, is the lowest among the six study states and well below the national average of $5,337, and its Medicaid spending as a percent of state General Fund spending is below the national average. Still, Medicaid spending has grown at 6 percent per annum since 2006\(^\text{13}\).

  Much faster growing are expenditures on pensions and retiree health care costs, which quintupled and tripled over the last decade. Because a large portion of California’s spending is constitutionally\(^\text{14}\), fiscally\(^\text{15}\) or politically\(^\text{16}\) protected, the burden from increases in retirement costs falls largely on unprotected programs such as the University of California, California State University, parks, welfare, and courts. That’s one reason that state spending on the University of California fell 20 percent over the last decade even though state revenues grew more than 50 percent.

---

**Underfunded Retirement Promises**

**Pensions – State and Local**

- Underfunded by $1-3 trillion nationally depending on discount rate
- Severe underpayment of contributions in several states

**Retiree health benefits – state & local**

- Underfunded by more than $1 trillion
- States generally pay only 20-40% of actuarially determined amounts

Reporting and disclosure is opaque. Mechanisms to enforce contributions are rare


**Federal Deficit Reduction Threatens State Finances**

**Federal grants:** $600+ billion, 32% of state revenue

**Other federal spending – impact on state economies**

**Federal tax changes – municipal bond interest, tax deductibility**

Impact on states should be a major consideration in federal decision-making.

The state’s capacity to raise revenues is increasingly impaired:

According to the Task Force, California’s personal income tax provides more than 60 percent of General Fund revenues and a significant amount of this tax comes from high-income earners, heavily reliant on capital gains and taxed at the highest tax rates. The California sales tax base, like that of most states, has also suffered from the shift of personal consumption toward services and away from taxable goods. In addition, policy actions that dedicated taxes to specific purposes or moved General Fund revenue to special funds have resulted in the erosion of the General Fund revenue base. Over the past 20 years, the proportion of tax revenue going to the General Fund has fallen from 82 percent to less than 65 percent. Also, constitutional limits on property taxation make local revenue increases in California difficult, causing increased pain when locals face higher costs or the state devolves spending obligations.

Federal and state actions have real and potential spillover effects:

The Task Force reports that California is one of the states most at risk to the federal government scaling back the deductibility of state and local tax payments.

Regarding state actions, two local California governments—Stockton and San Bernardino—recently declared bankruptcy in large part because of their own debt and retirement benefit obligations but also because of reductions in state support. Likewise, the state recently pushed pension costs formerly paid by the state down to the university level and has indicated that it does not intend to help meet the large unfunded pension liabilities of K-12 school districts, in both cases impacting the level of dollars that make it to the classroom. As reported by its latest actuarial valuation, the California State Teachers’ Retirement System (CalSTRS) needs more than $3 billion annually to get onto a path toward eventual full funding.

According to the Task Force, by economic measures it needs double that amount. In either case, funding for the classroom will be significantly impaired.

State budget practices are making fiscal stability and sustainability difficult:

According to the Task Force, the just-enacted fiscal year 2012-13 California Budget continues to rely heavily on nonrecurring revenues and gimmicks four years after the recession ended and two years after the federal stimulus was phased out. Among other things, the state treated one-time gains from a mortgage settlement as available for ordinary budget expenditures, borrowed money from special funds including a fund to be filled by its new greenhouse gas emissions law, and deferred recognition of billions of dollars of cost for retiree health care. The state also defers recognition of pension costs through the use by pension funds of unrealistic investment return assumptions. Over the past 10 years, actual pension costs exceeded estimated pension costs by $20 billion, yet state pension funds continue to assume long-term annual returns that far exceed long-term returns earned in the 20th century. Adjusted for non-recurring revenues, gimmicks, borrowings, non-recognition, and unrealistic assumptions, the California budget deficit is many billions of dollars of cost for retiree health care.

Examples: Temporary Resources Used (Beyond Rainy Day Funds and Federal Stimulus)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>Borrowing for operating purposes over many years created $28 billion “wall of debt”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>$10 billion pension obligation bonds in 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>Securitized tobacco settlement revenue in 2003 and 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>Securitized tobacco settlement revenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Delayed school aid moved $2+ billion from one budget period to the next</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>Underpaid pension contributions; accelerated sales tax payments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

billions of dollars greater than officially reported.

California’s state government will spend more than $2 trillion over the next 10 years. In the absence of structural budget fixes, less and less of that money will go to the services citizens generally associate with state governments, such as education, transportation, infrastructure, the social safety net, administration of justice, parks, recreation, safety, and environmental protection. Further, the risks are not just more cuts in important services but also revenue increases of potential harm to private-sector jobs and wages.

Structural state budget issues are already having enormous consequences for the state’s social fabric, as more service reductions hit vulnerable citizens every year. Deferring action will only make the ultimate costs—both financial and human—greater. As co-chairs Volcker and Ravitch concluded, “The time to act is now.”
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